| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|----------------------------|
| | 10/082,739 | TUCKER, TIMOTHY J. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Kristie Shingles | 2141 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>ABANDONED</u> | |
| (1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner. | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Timothy Tucker</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 8 December 2006 | Time: <u>2:45pm</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: n/a | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner notified Applicant that the period for reply to the latest office action mailed 4/1/05 has expired. Applicant confirmed that no response was sent for this action. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Michael Mind | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican | t/Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |